## SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 5, 2002 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

The Supreme Court of California reconvened in the courtroom of the Earl Warren Building, 350 McAllister Street, Fourth Floor, San Francisco, California, on September 5, 2002, at 9:00 a.m.

Present: Chief Justice Ronald M. George, presiding, and Associate Justices Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, Brown, and Moreno.

Officers present: Frederick K. Ohlrich, Clerk; and Brian Clearwater, Calendar Coordinator.

S091453 Filipina Jimenez, Petitioner

V.

San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent

T.M. Cobb Company, et al., Real Parties in Interest

Cause called. William B. Sullivan argued for Real Party in Interest T.M. Cobb Company.

Jeff G. Harmeyer argued for Real Party in Interest Viking Industries.

Stuart M. Eppsteiner argued for Petitioner.

Mr. Sullivan replied for Real Party in Interest T.M. Cobb Company.

Mr. Harmeyer replied for Real Party in Interest Viking Industries.

Cause submitted.

S100809 Matthew Pavlovich, Petitioner

V.

The Superior Court of Santa Clara County, Respondent DVD Copy Control Association, Inc., Real Party in Interest Cause called. Allonn E. Levy argued for Petitioner. Gregory S. Coleman argued for Real Party in Interest. Mr. Levy replied. Cause submitted.

S098007 Arthur Chambers, Plaintiff and Appellant

V.

Philip Kay, Defendant and Respondent

Cause called. Arne Werchick argued for Appellant.

Kirk C. Jenkins argued for Respondent.

Mr. Werchick replied.

Cause submitted.

Court recessed until 1:30 p.m. this date.

Court reconvened pursuant to recess.

Members of the Court and Officers present as first shown.

S094676 Stephen L. Cooley, as District Attorney, etc., Petitioner

V.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent

Paul Marentez, Real Party in Interest

Cause called. Jack T. Weedin, Los Angeles County Public

Defender, argued for Real Party in Interest.

Fred Klink, Los Angeles Deputy District Attorney, argued for Petitioner.

Mr. Weedin replied.

Cause submitted.

S099647 Construction Protective Services, Incorporated,

Plaintiff and Appellant

V.

TIG Specialty Insurance Company, Defendant and Respondent

Cause called. Alan B. Yuter argued for Respondent.

Donald B. Devirian argued for Appellant.

Mr. Yuter replied.

Cause submitted.

Court adjourned.

#### S109310

B160462 Second Appellate District, Division Two

## WARRICK v. S.C. (LOS ANGELES) Order filed

Pending final determination of the petition for review filed herein, trial in Los Angeles County Superior Court case number BA230651, entitled People v. Donald Paul Warrick, is hereby stayed.

#### S109481

A097680 First Appellate District, Division Two

## BAQLEH v. S.C. (PEOPLE) Order filed

Pending final determination of the petition for review filed herein, all competency proceedings in San Francisco County Superior Court case number 183548, entitled People v. Bagleh, are hereby stayed.

#### S097444

E025710 Fourth Appellate District, E025832 Division Two E026853 E025710

## WILSON v. PARKER, COVERT & CHIDESTER Order filed

The amended order filed on September 4, 2002, extending time to grant or deny rehearing to October 30, 2002, is amended to read in its entirety:

The order filed on August 20, 2002, is hereby amended to read, in its entirety: The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to and including October 30, 2002, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied.

#### S089463

# LAWLEY (DENNIS H.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to 9/25/2002 to file traverse to return to order to show cause. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S106586

H020771 Sixth Appellate District

MEJIA v. REED

Extension of time granted

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including Sept. 30, 2002.

S107300

DE MARINI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that WILLIAM STEPHEN DE MARINI, State Bar No. 149459, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S107543

GALBASINI ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

> It is ordered that **DONALD CHARLES** GALBASINI, State Bar No. 50554, be suspended from the practice of law for six months and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for six months on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed April 12, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v.

State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S107940

## REBER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that **PATRICIA LEIGH REBER**, State Bar No. **84460**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that her name be stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S107951

## VALDEZ ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **JEREMIAS FLORES** VALDEZ, State Bar No. 177675, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation. fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed May 6, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code

section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

S108043

DONDERO ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that the license of **ALLISON CAROL DONDERO**, **State Bar No. 208337**, to practice law in the State of California is hereby cancelled and her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. It is further ordered that **ALLISON CAROL DONDERO** comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.

S108045

# CHANNELS ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that Jon H. Channels, State Bar No. 145294, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct and until he makes restitution as specified. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed and modified on April 29, 2002. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and

perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S108156

## VACCARO ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that CHRISTOPHER MARK VACCARO, State Bar No. 123775, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Christopher Mark Vaccaro is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)